

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,103	04/23/2001	Frederic M. Newman	023	1753
75	90 09/09/2004		EXAM	INER
Matthew F. Steinheider			KARMIS, STEFANOS	
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP 750 Bering Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 77057-2198			3624	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
:	09/839,103	NEWMAN, FREDERIC M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stefano Karmis	3624	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a i reply within the statutory minimum of thir rirod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON latute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	76 May 2004		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) □ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the $\infty$			l.
,	<b>-</b>		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		_	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum  2. Certified copies of the priority docum  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	) Paper No(	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 26 May 2004.

### Status of Claims

2. Claims 1-21 have been left as originally filed. Therefore claims 1-21 are under prosecution in this application.

## Summary of this Office Action

Applicant's arguments, filed 26 May 2004, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-21 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made. Therefore claims 1-21 are rejected and Applicant's request for allowance is respectfully denied.

#### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3624

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karp et al. (hereinafter Karp) U.S. Patent 6,591,242 in view of Harvey et al. (hereinafter Harvey) U.S. Patent 6,519,568.

Regarding independent claim 1, Karp discloses a method of managing billing information of a first contractor and a second contractor doing work for a company, wherein the first contractor is doing a first service operation at a job site, the second contractor is doing a second service operation at a job site, and the company has a first computer at a remote location relative to the job site comprising: transporting a second computer to the job site; providing a wireless communication link between the first computer and the second computer; using a first vehicle to facilitate the first contractor doing the fist service operation; using a second vehicle to facilitate the second contractor doing the second service operation; inputting into the second computer

Art Unit: 3624

first invoice data pertaining to the first service operation; inputting in the second computer second invoice data pertaining to the second service operation; and communicating the first invoice data and the second invoice data from the second computer using the wireless communication link (column 3, lines 40-59 and column 4, lines 18-59). Karp fails to teach that the job site is specifically a well site. Harvey teaches a system and method for electronic data delivery to a remote site for oilfield data (column 27, line 55 thru column 28, line 15). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, that the oil field data deliver taught by Harvey could include invoice data as taught by Karp for specific job sites because both are providing pertinent data from a remote site back to a host site. There is motivation to combine the teachings because it implements an efficient invoicing system that transmits data to an oilfield electronic data delivery system to incorporate invoicing at a well site.

Claim 2, the first vehicle is used in transporting the second computer to the job site (column 3, lines 1-14). Karp fails to teach that the job site is specifically a well site. Harvey teaches a system and method for electronic data delivery to a remote site for oilfield data. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, that the oil field data deliver taught by Harvey could include invoice data as taught by Karp for specific job sites because both are providing pertinent data from a remote site back to a host site. There is motivation to combine the teachings because it implements an efficient invoicing system that transmits data to an oilfield electronic data delivery system to incorporate invoicing at a well site.

Art Unit: 3624

Claim 3, displaying confirmation information on the second computer that indicates that the company has not objected to the first invoice data (column 4, lines 13-31).

Claim 4, Karp teaches entering into the second computer a first alphanumeric password that serves as a prerequisite for displaying the confirmation information (column 6, lines 50-52).

Claim 5, displaying on the second computer information that indicates that the first invoice data and the second invoice data has been made available to the first computer (column 15, lines 18-33).

Claims 6 and 7, generating a first and second electrical signal from a first and second transducer associated with a first and second vehicle; converting the signals to a digital value; storing the value and using the value to support the validity of the invoice (column 6, line 36 thru column 7, line 3).

Claims 8-12 Karp teaches job site verification system in which invoice data may be wirelessly transmitted form the job site. Karp fails to teach that the service performed is for a well site. Official Notice is taken that services at well sites are old and well known in the art. Therefore it would've been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention that the services performed at a well site could include manipulating sucker rods and tubing, pumping fluids such as acid and cement, and downhole logging because they are common operations that need servicing at a well site.

Art Unit: 3624

Claim 13, Karp fails to specify that the invoice contain categories classified as consumable, nonconsumable, labor and rental. Official Notice is taken that detailed invoicing is old and well known in the art. Therefore it would've been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Karp to include detailed billing such as consumable and nonconsmables, labor and rental because they are cost categories commonly found at well sites.

Claim 14, Karp teaches entering a job site identifier into the second computer (column 4, lines 18-59). Karp fails to teach that the job site is specifically a well site. Harvey teaches a system and method for electronic data delivery to a remote site for oilfield data. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, that the oil field data deliver taught by Harvey could include invoice data as taught by Karp for specific job sites because both are providing pertinent data from a remote site back to a host site. There is motivation to combine the teachings because it implements an efficient invoicing system that transmits data to an oilfield electronic data delivery system to incorporate invoicing at a well site.

Regarding independent claim 15, Karp discloses a method of managing billing information of a first contractor and a second contractor doing work for a company, wherein the first contractor is doing a first service operation at a job site, the second contractor is doing a second service operation at a job site, and the company has a first computer at a remote location relative to the job site comprising: transporting a mobile computer to a job site; using a first

Art Unit: 3624

vehicle to assist the first contractor doing the fist service operation; confirming that the first contractor has performed the first service operation; entering into the mobile computer approval information that indicates that the step of confirming has been carried out; displaying on the mobile computer a password of a limited useful life in response to entering the approval information into the second computer; with the aide of the password, submitting to the company first invoice data that pertains to the first service operation; and terminating the limited useful life of the password after submitting the first invoice data to the company (column 3, lines 40-59 and column 4, lines 18-59 and column 6, lines 13-67). Karp fails to teach that the job site is specifically a well site. Harvey teaches a system and method for electronic data delivery to a remote site for oilfield data. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, that the oil field data deliver taught by Harvey could include invoice data as taught by Karp for specific job sites because both are providing pertinent data from a remote site back to a host site (column 27, line 55 thru column 28, line 15). There is motivation to combine the teachings because it implements an efficient invoicing system that transmits data to an oilfield electronic data delivery system to incorporate invoicing at a well site.

Claim 16, approving the invoice data based on existence of the password (column 6, lines 13-67).

Claim 17, providing a wireless communication link between the home base computer and the mobile computer; and communicating the approval information from the mobile computer to

Art Unit: 3624

the home base computer using the wireless communication link (column 3, lines 40-59 and column 5, line 12-38).

Regarding independent claim 18, Karp discloses a method of managing billing information of a first contractor and a second contractor doing work for a company, wherein the first contractor is doing a first service operation at a job site, the second contractor is doing a second service operation at a job site, and the company has a first computer at a remote location relative to the job site comprising: transporting a second computer to the job site; providing a wireless communication link between the first computer and the second computer; using a first vehicle to facilitate the first contractor doing the fist service operation; using a second vehicle to facilitate the second contractor doing the second service operation; inputting into the second computer first invoice data pertaining to the first service operation; inputting in the second computer second invoice data pertaining to the second service operation; and communicating the first invoice data and the second invoice data from the second computer using the wireless communication link (column 3, lines 40-59 and column 4, lines 18-59). Displaying confirmation information on the second computer that indicates that the company has not objected to the first invoice data (column 4, lines 13-31). Displaying on the second computer information that indicates that the first invoice data and the second invoice data has been made available to the first computer (column 15, lines 18-33) and entering into the second computer a first alphanumeric password that serves as a prerequisite for displaying the confirmation information (column 6, lines 50-52). Karp fails to teach that the job site is specifically a well site. Harvey teaches a system and method for electronic data delivery to a remote site for oilfield data

Art Unit: 3624

(column 27, line 55 thru column 28, line 15). It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, that the oil field data deliver taught by Harvey could include invoice data as taught by Karp for specific job sites because both are providing pertinent data from a remote site back to a host site. There is motivation to combine the teachings because it implements an efficient invoicing system that transmits data to an oilfield electronic data delivery system to incorporate invoicing at a well site.

Claim 19, generating a first and second electrical signal from a first and second transducer associated with a first and second vehicle; converting the signals to a digital value; storing the value and using the value to support the validity of the invoice (column 6, line 36 thru column 7, line 3).

Claims 20 and 21 Karp teaches job site verification system in which invoice data may be wirelessly transmitted form the job site. Karp fails to teach that the service performed is for a well site. Official Notice is taken that services at well sites are old and well known in the art. Therefore it would've been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention that the services performed at a well site could include manipulating sucker rods and tubing and pumping fluids such as acid and cement because they are common operations that need servicing at a well site.

Art Unit: 3624

#### Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefano Karmis whose telephone number is (703) 305-8130. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully Submitted Stefano Karmis 02 September 2004

> HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER